

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/683,537	10/09/2003	Todd Allen Berg	293/034 Div2	2610
1473	7590 05/25/2006		EXAMINER	
FISH & NEAVE IP GROUP			SNOW, BRUCE EDWARD	
ROPES & GR		T C2	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS FL C3 NEW YORK, NY 10020-1105		L C3		TALER NOMBER
NEW TORK, INT 10020-1105			3738	

DATE MAILED: 05/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		()			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/683,537	BERG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Bruce E. Snow	3738			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 M</u>	<u>larch 2006</u> .				
,	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 108-142 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) 115-142 is/are withden 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 108-114 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		Fyaminer			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Maii Date 10/13/05; 1/17/06.		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/683,537 Page 2

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's amendments and arguments filed 3/9/06 have been fully considered.

Applicant has submitted an IDS, dated 1/17/06 containing a listing hundreds of references; please assist the Examiner in identifying the very pertinent references to the claims from said IDS or any previously cited art by applicant or Examiner in response to this Office action.

Regarding the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement, applicant deleted the language, "wherein the axial distance between the first and second sets can be changed". The rejection was withdrawn.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 1/17/06 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. Note that some are not in English.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the

Application/Control Number: 10/683,537

Art Unit: 3738

art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 108-114 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant has amended claim 108 wherein "only one set of fingers is covered by a web of material between adjacent ones of the fingers" which is new matter. Applicant's claims 108-114 are directed to a device for closing **septal defects**, the specification only supports a **connector** having either both covered with a web. See page 22, lines 24 et seq. of applicant's specification.

Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claims 120-142 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

New claims 115-131 present new species or combination comprising a suture (claim 120 possibly shown in figure 28) and not requiring a medial portion connecting first and second sets of fingers; this claimed configuration was not previously considered nor searched. Note applicant remarks indicate at least claims 120-131 are alternate embodiments; see page 11, first paragraph of the arquments.

Claims 132-142 are directed to a method not previously claimed. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially

Art Unit: 3738

different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product not requiring a closure device and a delivery structure and simply inserted by hand.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 120-142 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical

Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 108-109 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by King et al (3,874,388).

Referring to figure 15A, King et al teaches a device for use in closing septal defects comprising:

a medial portion having a longitudinal axis;

first 291 and second 291' sets of fingers mounted on the medial portion that are capable of extending outward from the axis, the first and substantially radially second sets being spaced an axial distance apart from each other on the axis, and wherein only one set of fingers is covered by a web 209 of material between adjacent ones of the fingers.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 111 and 113 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over King et al. King et al teaches the device as described above, however, fails to

Art Unit: 3738

specifically teach nitinol. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art have used nitinol for such a material for it's well known characteristics such as biocompatibility. Regarding silicone, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art have used silicone for such a material for it's well known characteristics such as biocompatibility

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruce E. Snow whose telephone number is (571) 272-4759. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs.

Application/Control Number: 10/683,537 Page 7

Art Unit: 3738

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

bes

BRUCE SNOW
PRIMARY EXAMINER